

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/670,698	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BOSSEN, FRANK JAN	
	<b>Examiner</b> DANIEL ZEILBERGER	<b>Art Unit</b> 2624	

**All Participants:**

(1) DANIEL ZEILBERGER.

(2) TADASHI HORIE (REG. NO. 40,437).

**Date of Interview:** 5 March 2010

**Status of Application:** Notice of Allowability

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 11:00 a.m.

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

31, 37, 41, 45, 66, 68, 70

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Daniel Zeilberger/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2624

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Applicant's representative to propose modifying the language "or a transform thereof" since the Examiner believed that such language could be interpreted as allowing for a wide variety of basis vectors. The Examiner suggested, and the Applicant's representative approved, changing the language to "or a transpose thereof."